Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/778,516	LO ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Daniel M. Sullivan	1636
All Participants:	Status of Application	: <u>Allowed</u>
(1) <u>Daniel M. Sullivan</u> .	(3)	
(2) <u>Jianming Hao</u> .	(4)	
Date of Interview: <u>5/9/05 and 6/1/05</u>	Time:	
Type of Interview:  ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐  Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ N If Yes, provide a brief description:	Applicant's representative)	
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed:		
Claims discussed:		
Prior art documents discussed:		
Part II.		,
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE	CENEDAL NATURE OF WHAT	MAC DICCHOOFD.
See Continuation Sheet	GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT	WAS DISCUSSED.
Part III.		
<ul> <li>It is not necessary for applicant to provide a sep directly resulted in the allowance of the application of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.</li> <li>It is not necessary for applicant to provide a sep did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief separate</li> </ul>	ion. The examiner will provide a value of the substance of	written summary of the substance the interview
·		
•		
Examiner/SPE Signature) (Ap	pplicant/Applicant's Representativ	e Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: In the 9 May interview, Applicant's representative was informed that the amendment filed 20 April 2005 was incomplete because it did not include a statement clearly directing entry of the substitute sequence listing into the specification and did not include a statement that the amendment does not add new matter. The 1 June interview was initiated by the Examiner to obtain authorization for the Examiner's amendment mailed herewith..